



AGENDA MEMO

CITY COUNCIL MEETING DATE: SEPTEMBER 6, 2006

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

ITEM DESCRIPTION: VAR-14735 APPLICANT/OWNER: STEVEN PORTNOFF

**** CONDITIONS ****

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

Planning and Development

1. This approval shall be void two years from the date of final approval, unless a certificate of occupancy has been issued or upon approval of a final inspection. An Extension of Time may be filed for consideration by the City of Las Vegas.

**** STAFF REPORT ****

APPLICATION REQUEST

This is a request for a Variance to allow a proposed single family dwelling to be 13 feet from the front property line where 20 feet is the minimum setback required and 29 feet from the rear property line where 35 feet is the minimum setback required on 0.63 acres located on the south side of O'Bannon Drive, approximately 140 feet west of Lisa Lane

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The applicant has created a self-imposed hardship. An alternative wall design could be utilized; therefore denial of this request is recommended.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A) Related Actions

There is no relevant zoning history for this site.

08/10/06 The Planning Commission recommended denial of companion items VAR-14734 and SUP-15027 concurrently with this application.

08/10/06 The Planning Commission voted 7-0 to recommend DENIAL (PC Agenda Item #54/ar).

B) Pre-Application Meeting

06/14/06 The requirements of a Variance application were explained.

C) Neighborhood Meetings

A neighborhood meeting is not required as part of this application request, nor was one held.

DETAILS OF APPLICATION REQUEST

A) Site Area

Net Acres: 0.63

B) Existing Land Use

Subject Property: Undeveloped
 North: Single Family Dwelling
 South: Single Family Dwelling
 East: Single Family Dwelling
 West: Undeveloped single family subdivision map recorded

C) Planned Land Use

Subject Property: R (Rural) Density Residential
 North: R (Rural) Density Residential
 South: R (Rural) Density Residential
 East: R (Rural) Density Residential
 West: R (Rural) Density Residential

D) Existing Zoning

Subject Property: U [(Undeveloped) Zone, R (Rural) General Plan Designation]
 North: R-1 (Single Family Residential)
 South: U [(Undeveloped) Zone, R (Rural) General Plan Designation]
 East: U [(Undeveloped) Zone, R (Rural) General Plan Designation]
 West: U (Undeveloped) zone under resolution of intent to R-PD6
 (Residential Planned Development, 6 units per acre.

E) General Plan Compliance

The U (Undeveloped) zoning classification is in conformance with the R (Rural) density residential General Plan designation.

<i>SPECIAL DISTRICTS/ZONES</i>	Yes	No
Special Area Plan		X
Special Overlay District		X
Trails		X
Rural Preservation Overlay District		X
County/North Las Vegas/HOA Notification		X
Development Impact Notification Assessment		X
Project of Regional Significance		X

ANALYSIS

A) Zoning Code Compliance

Development Standards

Pursuant to Title 19.08, the following Development Standards apply to the subject proposal:

Standards	Required	Requested	Compliance
Min. Lot Size	0.50 Acres	0.63 Acres	Y
Min. Setbacks			
Front	20 Feet	13 Feet	N
Side	10 Feet	10 Feet	Y
Rear	35 Feet	29 Feet	N

The applicant is requesting a 35 % reduction of the front yard setback and a 17 % reduction of the rear yard setback.

B) General Analysis and Discussion

The Subdivision Ordinance (Title 18) defines the front of the lot as the side of the lot which fronts on a street. Where more than one side has street frontage, the front is considered to be the side which has the lesser dimension in width. In regard to the subject application, this means that the front of the lot is the property line which lies adjacent to the cul-de-sac bulb. However, the applicant has decided to orient the proposed single family dwelling toward OBannon Avenue, and reduce the front and rear setbacks.

FINDINGS

In accordance with the provisions of Title 19.18.070(B), Planning Commission and City Council, in considering the merits of a Variance request, shall not grant a Variance in order to:

1. Permit a use in a zoning district in which the use is not allowed;
2. Vary any minimum spacing requirement between uses;
3. Relieve a hardship which is solely personal, self-created or financial in nature.

Additionally, Title 19.18.070L states:

Where by reason of exceptional narrowness, shallowness, or shape of a specific piece of property at the time of enactment of the regulation, or by reason of exceptional topographic conditions or other extraordinary and exceptional situation or condition of the piece of property, the strict application of any zoning regulation would result in peculiar and exceptional practical difficulties to, or exceptional and undue hardships upon, the owner of the property, a variance from that strict application may be granted so as to relieve the difficulties or hardship, if the relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, without substantial impairment of affected natural resources and without substantially impairing the intent and purpose of any ordinance or resolution.

No evidence of a unique or extraordinary circumstance has been presented, in that the applicant has created a self-imposed hardship by proposing a single family dwelling not in conformance to the zoning code. Alternative design would allow conformance to the Title 19 requirements. In view of the absence of any hardships imposed by the sites physical characteristics, it is concluded that the applicants hardship is preferential in nature, and it is thereby outside the realm of NRS Chapter 278 for granting of Variances.

NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATIONS NOTIFIED 7

ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 2

SENATE DISTRICT 8

NOTICES MAILED 233 by City Clerk

APPROVALS 0

PROTESTS 1