

**NOTE: THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTATION
WAS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD BEFORE
OR AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION HEARING
ON THIS ITEM WHICH IS NOW APPEARING
BEFORE THE CITY COUNCIL**

LAW OFFICE OF L. EARL HAWLEY

916 CASINO CENTER BOULEVARD

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101

(702) 382-8910

FAX (702) 382-6675

July 18, 2006

City of Las Vegas Planning and Development
Current Planning Division
731 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101

Re: SDR-10777
VAR-11052
VAR-10779

Dear Sir:

This letter is an objection to the above applications.

First, all other recent applications for this zoning area have not sought to alter the fundamental aspects of the medical professional aspect of the current zoning. The above applications do alter the fundamental aspects we have all been required to meet. Based on this aspect alone, this objection is filed.

Secondly, Rose Street is already experiencing parking and traffic congestion, and the road is only partially built out. Parking on Pinto, due to current heavy traffic, will never occur, and parking will thus be packed onto Rose Street.

Thirdly, the residential aspect of the current zoning was to tolerate current homeowners. The current zoning, when passed, had projected that medical facilities would be built on three lots only. This current effort reaches into the past, residential-wise. The applicant seeks to expand permanent parking and residences per lot, increasing street congestion. With heavy traffic and children, the proposed project moves the zoning back toward the time period when residences were sought to be removed. The program for quiet walkways, where patients could stroll unhampered by residences and children at play, is lost by the current proposal. With all of the high rise development between Main Street and Las Vegas Boulevard and Stewart and Charleston, the medical use needs every emphasis it can get to hold its character. We who have relied upon the development of the medical zoning and expended funds thereon in the development of our own properties in the zone, do not need the loss of character of the area. The variance effort of the applicant does in fact alter the character of the area. Residents in this area will only heavily increase the hazards to pedestrians. Localization of traffic, as

L. EARL HAWLEY

ATTORNEY AT LAW

currently zoned, manifests the fact that at the zoning initiative, the City recognized that persons needing medical care need to be free from the concept of residences in the medical zone. This aspect should not be changed.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "L. Earl Hawley".

L. EARL HAWLEY, ESQ.

LEH/ld