

**Planning & Development Department
Scanning Cover Sheet**

Case No A-9-62

APN N/A

Location S SAN FRANCISCO AVE & W ARVILLE ST

Applicant Langson Construction Company


Subject

Annexation Petitions:

This annexation was declared invalid by
court action, as not being a contiguous
area. Ord. 1025 (A-22-62) supercedes this.



LOT 107



SAN FRA

BLK 6
187.72

EL COMINO AVE.



CITY LIMITS
COUNTY

SOUTH 107

Note: This annexation (ORD. 991) was declared invalid by court action, as not being a contiguous area (a point is not contiguous)

Ord. 1025 (A-22-62) supersedes this and is valid as it includes additional area which is contiguous to Las Vegas.

MBG

ORDINANCE NO. 991

AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING TO, AND MAKING A PART OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS, CERTAIN SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED TERRITORY ADJOINING AND CONTIGUOUS TO THE CORPORATE LIMITS OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS; DECLARING SAID TERRITORY AND INHABITANTS TO BE ANNEXED THERETO AND SUBJECT TO ALL LAWS AND ORDINANCES; ORDERING A PLAT SHOWING SAID TERRITORY TO BE RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF THE COUNTY OF CLARK, STATE OF NEVADA; AND PROVIDING OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO.

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of the City of Las Vegas have from time to time received requests from the owners of taxable property in the unincorporated town of Winchester to annex certain portions of the said unincorporated town to the City of Las Vegas; and

WHEREAS, Nevada Revised Statutes, Section 269.020 provides that such annexations may be made to incorporated cities under certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners of Clark County, Nevada, on the 8th day of July, 1958, adopted County Ordinance No. 97 providing the method of procedure for the annexation to incorporated cities of territory located in unincorporated cities; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of the City of Las Vegas, by a Resolution passed on the 11th day of July, 1962, notified the Board of County Commissioners, pursuant to Ordinance No. 97, that the Board of City Commissioners intended to circulate petitions among the owners of taxable property to determine if they desired their properties to be annexed to the City of Las Vegas; and

WHEREAS, the owners of the majority of the taxable property, as well as the majority of the property owners of the herein-after described area, have petitioned the Board of Commissioners for annexation to the City of Las Vegas; and

WHEREAS, the Board feels that all of the conditions and requirements of Nevada Revised Statutes, the Las Vegas City Charter, as well as County Ordinance No. 97, have been complied with and that the said property is adjacent and contiguous to the limits of the City of Las Vegas and consists of more than sixty (60) acres, and should be annexed to the City of Las Vegas.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS DO ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Pursuant to Petitions duly presented to the Board of Commissioners of the City of Las Vegas, signed by a majority of the freeholders, as well as the owners of a majority of the taxable property in the area containing the hereinafter described parcel of real property requesting the Board of Commissioners of the City of Las Vegas to annex to and make a part of the City of Las Vegas, the hereinafter described parcel of real property, the exterior boundaries of the City of Las Vegas are hereby extended to annex to, and include therein, the following described parcel of real property, to wit:

The South One-half ($S\frac{1}{2}$) of the Northwest One-Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4}$) and the Southwest One-Quarter ($SW\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northeast One-Quarter ($NE\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northwest One-Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 7, Township 21 South, Range 61 East, M.D.B. & M.

TOGETHER with the tenements, property and inhabitants within said parcel of real property is hereby declared to be a part of the City of Las Vegas.

SECTION 2. Said parcel of real property, together with the tenements and inhabitants thereof, shall be subject to all of the laws applicable to the City of Las Vegas.

SECTION 3. The Director of Public Works of the City of Las Vegas is hereby instructed to prepare a plat of said territory described in Section 1 of this Ordinance and to file the same for record in the Office of the County Recorder of Clark County, Nevada.

/s/ Oran K. Gragson
ORAN K. GRAGSON, Mayor

ATTEST:

/s/ Sigrid Dodgson
Assistant City Clerk

The above and foregoing ordinance was read by title to the Board of Commissioners on the 18th day of September, 1962, which was a special meeting of said Board, and referred to the following committee composed of Commissioners Mirabelli and Levy for recommendation; thereafter the said committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the 19th day of September, 1962, which was a special meeting of said Board; that at said special meeting the proposed ordinance was read by title to the Board of Commissioners as first introduced and adopted by the following vote:

VOTING "AYE": Commissioners Mirabelli, Levy, Whipple, and Mayor Gragson.

VOTING "NAY": None. ABSENT: Commissioner Fountain.

APPROVED:

/s/ Oran K. Gragson
ORAN K. GRAGSON, Mayor

ATTEST:

/s/ Sigrid Dodgson
SIGRID DODGSON, Ass't City Clerk

To: Sigrid Dodgson, Asst. City Clerk
Las Vegas, Nevada

September 19, 1962

CALL OF SPECIAL MEETING:

A Special Meeting of the Board of Commissioners of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, is hereby called to be held in the Conference Room of the City Hall on the 19th day of September, 1962, at the hour of 6:45 P.M. to consider the following matters:

ITEM

Commission Action

1. ORDINANCE NO. 991 - (A-9-62)

Adopted

/s/ Oran K. Gragson
ORAN K. GRAGSON, MAYOR

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING:

To:	Oran K. Gragson	Mayor	Philip M. Mirabelli	Commissioner
	Reed Whipple	Commissioner	Verlyn L. Fletcher	City Manager
	E. W. Fountain	Commissioner	A. R. Trelease	Asst. City Mgr.
	Harry C. Levy	Commissioner	Sidney R. Whitmore	City Attorney

You, and each of you, will please take notice that pursuant to the above call of the Special Meeting this day issued, a Special Meeting of the Board of Commissioners of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, will be held as stated above.

/s/ Sigrid Dodgson
ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

ACCEPTANCE OF NOTICE:

We, the undersigned Mayor, Commissioners, City Manager, Assistant City Manager, and City Attorney of the City of Las Vegas do hereby admit due service of the foregoing Notice of Special Meeting:

/s/ Oran K. Gragson
/s/ Reed Whipple
/s/ Harry Levy
/s/ Philip M. Mirabelli

/s/ V. L. Fletcher
/s/ A. R. Trelease
/s/ S. R. Whitmore

MINUTES

A Special Meeting of the Board of Commissioners of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, held this 19th day of September, 1962, was called to order at the hour of 6:45 P.M. with the following members present:

Oran K. Gragson	Mayor
Reed Whipple	Commissioner
Harry C. Levy	Commissioner

ABSENT (EXCUSED)

E. W. Fountain	Commissioner
Philip Mirabelli	Commissioner

STAFF PRESENT:

Verlyn L. Fletcher	City Manager
Sidney R. Whitmore	City Attorney
A. R. Trelease	Assistant City Manager
Sigrid Dodgson	Assistant City Clerk

Commissioner Levy speaking from himself and Commissioner Mirabelli, the other member of the Committee for recommendation on Ordinance #991, reported said Ordinance out of Committee favorably.

ORDINANCE NO. 991
(A-9-62)

Adopted

An Ordinance entitled: "AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING TO, AND MAKING A PART OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS, CERTAIN SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED TERRITORY ADJOINING AND CONTIGUOUS TO THE CORPORATE LIMITS OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS; DECLARING SAID TERRITORY AND INHABITANTS TO BE ANNEXED THERETO AND SUBJECT TO ALL LAWS AND ORDINANCES; ORDERING A PLAT SHOWING SAID TERRITORY TO BE RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF THE COUNTY OF CLARK, STATE OF NEVADA; AND PROVIDING OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO." was read by title by City Attorney, Sidney R. Whitmore.

Commissioner Levy moved that the foregoing entitled Ordinance #991 be ADOPTED.

Motion seconded by Commissioners Whipple and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Whipple, Levy, and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

There being no further business to come before the Board, at the hour of 6:50 P.M., Commissioner Levy moved the meeting be ADJOURNED.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Whipple and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Levy, Whipple, and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

APPROVED:

ORAN K. GRAGSON, MAYOR

ATTEST:

ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

DATE APPROVED: _____

To: Sigrid Dodgson, Asst. City Clerk
Las Vegas, Nevada

September 19, 1962

CALL OF SPECIAL MEETING:

A Special Meeting of the Board of Commissioners of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, is hereby called to be held in the Conference Room of the City Hall on the 19th day of September, 1962, at the hour of 6:45 P.M. to consider the following matters:

ITEM

Commission Action

1. ORDINANCE NO. 991 - (A-9-62)

Adopted

/s/ Oran K. Gragson
ORAN K. GRAGSON, MAYOR

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING:

To: Oran K. Gragson	Mayor	Philip M. Mirabelli	Commissioner
Reed Whipple	Commissioner	Verlyn L. Fletcher	City Manager
E. W. Fountain	Commissioner	A. R. Trelease	Asst. City Mgr.
Harry C. Levy	Commissioner	Sidney R. Whitmore	City Attorney

You, and each of you, will please take notice that pursuant to the above call of the Special Meeting this day issued, a Special Meeting of the Board of Commissioners of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, will be held as stated above.

/s/ Sigrid Dodgson
ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

ACCEPTANCE OF NOTICE:

We, the undersigned Mayor, Commissioners, City Manager, Assistant City Manager, and City Attorney of the City of Las Vegas do hereby admit due service of the foregoing Notice of Special Meeting:

/s/ Oran K. Gragson
/s/ Reed Whipple
/s/ Harry Levy
/s/ Philip M. Mirabelli

/s/ V. L. Fletcher
/s/ A. R. Trelease
/s/ S. R. Whitmore

MINUTES

A Special Meeting of the Board of Commissioners of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, held this 19th day of September, 1962, was called to order at the hour of 6:45 P.M. with the following members present:

Oran K. Gragson	Mayor
Reed Whipple	Commissioner
Harry C. Levy	Commissioner

ABSENT (EXCUSED)

E. W. Fountain	Commissioner
Philip Mirabelli	Commissioner

STAFF PRESENT:

Verlyn L. Fletcher	City Manager
Sidney R. Whitmore	City Attorney
A. R. Trelease	Assistant City Manager
Sigrid Dodgson	Assistant City Clerk

Commissioner Levy speaking from himself and Commissioner Mirabelli, the other member of the Committee for recommendation on Ordinance #991, reported said Ordinance out of Committee favorably.

ORDINANCE NO. 991
(A-9-62)

Adopted

An Ordinance entitled: "AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING TO, AND MAKING A PART OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS, CERTAIN SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED TERRITORY ADJOINING AND CONTIGUOUS TO THE CORPORATE LIMITS OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS; DECLARING SAID TERRITORY AND INHABITANTS TO BE ANNEXED THERETO AND SUBJECT TO ALL LAWS AND ORDINANCES; ORDERING A PLAT SHOWING SAID TERRITORY TO BE RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF THE COUNTY OF CLARK, STATE OF NEVADA; AND PROVIDING OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO." was read by title by City Attorney, Sidney R. Whitmore.

Commissioner Levy moved that the foregoing entitled Ordinance #991 be ADOPTED.

Motion seconded by Commissioners Whipple and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Whipple, Levy, and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

There being no further business to come before the Board, at the hour of 6:50 P.M., Commissioner Levy moved the meeting be ADJOURNED.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Whipple and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Levy, Whipple, and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

APPROVED:

ORAN K. GRAGSON, MAYOR

ATTEST:

ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

DATE APPROVED: _____

MINUTES

A Special Meeting of the Board of Commissioners of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, held this 19th day of September, 1962, was called to order at the hour of 6:45 P.M. with the following members present:

Oran K. Gragson	Mayor
Reed Whipple	Commissioner
Harry C. Levy	Commissioner

ABSENT (EXCUSED)

E. W. Fountain	Commissioner
Philip Mirabelli	Commissioner

STAFF PRESENT:

Verlyn L. Fletcher	City Manager
Sidney R. Whitmore	City Attorney
A. R. Trelease	Assistant City Manager
Sigrid Dodgson	Assistant City Clerk

Commissioner Levy speaking from himself and Commissioner Mirabelli, the other member of the Committee for recommendation on Ordinance #991, reported said Ordinance out of Committee favorably.

ORDINANCE NO. 991
(A-9-62)

Adopted

An Ordinance entitled: "AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING TO, AND MAKING A PART OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS, CERTAIN SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED TERRITORY ADJOINING AND CONTIGUOUS TO THE CORPORATE LIMITS OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS; DECLARING SAID TERRITORY AND INHABITANTS TO BE ANNEXED THERETO AND SUBJECT TO ALL LAWS AND ORDINANCES; ORDERING A PLAT SHOWING SAID TERRITORY TO BE RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF THE COUNTY OF CLARK, STATE OF NEVADA; AND PROVIDING OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO." was read by title by City Attorney, Sidney R. Whitmore.

Commissioner Levy moved that the foregoing entitled Ordinance #991 be ADOPTED.

Motion seconded by Commissioners Whipple and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Whipple, Levy, and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

There being no further business to come before the Board, at the hour of 6:50 P.M., Commissioner Levy moved the meeting be ADJOURNED.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Whipple and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Levy, Whipple, and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

APPROVED:

ORAN K. GRAGSON, MAYOR

ATTEST:

Page 2

Minutes- Special Meeting
9-19-62

ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

DATE APPROVED: _____

The Director of Planning reported to the Commission there were no protests at the public hearing and that the Board of Zoning Adjustment recommended approval subject to certain conditions.

There was no response to the Mayor's call for comments for or against this application.

Commissioner Levy moved the application of John Pappas for a variance (V-18-62) be APPROVED as recommended by the Board of Zoning Adjustment, subject to the following conditions:

1. Conformance to the plot plan.
2. Agreement guaranteeing the installation of off-site improvements.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Fountain and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Mirabelli, Levy, Fountain and Mayor Whipple voting aye; noes, none.

ZONE CHANGE -
(Z-47-62)

Denied

APPLICATION OF JACK SCHOFIELD

For reclassification of property generally located on the northeast corner of Bonanza Road and 11th Street; property legally described as:

Lots 10 and 11, Block 6, Stewart Addition

From: R-3 To: R-4

Commissioner Fountain moved that the application of Jack Schofield for a zone change (Z-47-62) be DENIED, as recommended by the Planning Commission, on the basis that it would constitute spot zoning since all of the surrounding area is zoned R-3 or R-2.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Levy and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Mirabelli, Levy, Fountain and Mayor Whipple voting aye; noes, none.

ANNEXATION -
(A-9-62)

Resolution
Adopted

PETITION OF ESQUIRE ESTATES, INC. AND COUNCIL OF THE STATE PRESIDENCY OF THE L.D.S. CHURCH

To annex approximately 90 acres located south of San Francisco Avenue and west of Arville Street. Property legally described as:

The South One-half of the Northwest One Quarter
and the Southwest One Quarter of the Northeast One
Quarter of the Northwest One Quarter of Section 7,
Township 21 South, Range 61 East, MDB&M.

Commissioner Levy moved that a resolution of intent to annex the above mentioned property be ADOPTED and the City Clerk be instructed to forward a certified copy of this resolution to the Chairman of the Board of County Commissioners of Clark County, Nevada.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Fountain and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Mirabelli, Levy, Fountain and Mayor Whipple voting aye; noes, none.

4-9-62

July
Twelfth
1962

**CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED**

**Chairman, Clark County Board of Commissioners
Clark County Courthouse
200 E. Carson Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada**

Dear Sir:

At a regular meeting of the Board of City Commissioners of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, held July 11, 1962, the attached Resolution indicating the intention of the City of Las Vegas to annex property presently within the unincorporated town of Winchester, was adopted by a unanimous vote.

As provided by Ordinance No. 97 of the County of Clark you are hereby given sixty (60) days notice by the attached copy of said Resolution, of the City's intention to annex.


Yours very truly,

**(Mrs.) Edwina M. Cole
City Clerk**

Enclosure

cc - Planning Department

cc - City Attorney



Commissioners with the recommendation that it be approved.

Mr. Johnston seconded the motion and it was carried unanimously by the Commission.

15. VAC-5-62
Approved

Application of Ernest A. Becker for the vacation of a portion of Hussey Drive in Charleston Heights Tract No. 40-B.

Mr. Bills gave the staff report and stated that there should not be a through street penetrating Charleston Heights Tract No. 40-B at this point; therefore, the staff recommends approval.

After discussion Mr. Gilday moved that the application of Ernest A. Becker for the vacation of a portion of Hussey Drive in Charleston Heights Tract No. 40-B be referred to the Board of City Commissioners with the recommendation that it be approved. Mr. Uehling seconded the motion and it was carried unanimously by the Commission.

16. A-9-62
Approved

Application of Langson Construction Company for the annexation of property legally described as the South One-Half (S 1/2) of the Northwest Quarter (NW 1/4) and the Southwest Quarter (SW 1/4) of the Northeast Quarter (NE 1/4) of the Northwest Quarter (NW 1/4) of Section 7, Township 21 South, Range 61 East, MDB&M.

Mr. Bills gave the staff report and stated that this property is in the town of Winchester. A subdivision has been accepted for processing for this property. The staff recommends approval.

After discussion Mr. Longley moved that the application of Langson Construction Company for the annexation of property legally described as the South One-Half (S 1/2) of the Northwest Quarter (NW 1/4) and the Southwest Quarter (SW 1/4) of the Northeast Quarter (NE 1/4) of the Northwest Quarter (NW 1/4) of Section 7, Township 21 South, Range 61 East, MDB&M be referred to the Board of City Commissioners with the recommendation that it be approved. Mr. Gilday seconded the motion and it was carried unanimously by the Commission.

17. Charleston Estates No. 2-D - Final Map

18. Charleston Estates No. 2-B - Final Map

19. Charleston Estates No. 2-C - Final Map

These three final maps were considered and a lengthy discussion regarding the rights of way and improvements required was held.

Mr. Tiberti moved that the final maps of Charleston Estates No. 2-D, Charleston Estates No. 2-B, and Charleston Estates No. 2-C be held in abeyance until the matter of the rights of way and improvements is resolved. Mr. Johnston seconded the motion and it was carried unanimously by the Commission.

20. Charleston Heights No. 41-A - Final Map

Mr. Bills gave the staff report and presented the final map of Charleston Heights No. 41-A to the Commission. He stated that it was in order

INTER-OFFICE MEMORANDUM

June 18, 1962

TO:

City Attorney

FROM:

Director of Planning

SUBJECT:

A-9-62 and A-13-62

COPIES TO:

Request for legal advice relative to two petitions for annexation to the city and referred to this office for processing.

A-9-62 is a parcel of about 90 acres south of San Francisco between Arville and Decatur. It is presently included in the unincorporated town of Winchester. For this reason, I feel, we must follow the time-consuming procedure whereby the Board of Commissioners will adopt a resolution of intend to annex, officially notify the County Commission and wait a period of 60 days. If this petition is processed without delay it would be heard by the Planning Commission on June 26, and by the Board of City Commissioners on July 11. The sixty day waiting period would bring us up to September 12, which is of course much less than 90 days prior to the general election. Previously I had always assumed that this statutory provision forbidding an increase in the corporate limits of an incorporated city 90 days prior to an election referred only to a city election. However, the question has been raised by the County Clerk's Office and I would appreciate your advice as to whether or not a general election will effect the City's ability to annex 90 days prior thereto.

If this be true, I do not see how this annexation could be accomplished until after the November election.

A-13-62 is a parcel of approximately 30 acres located in Section 24T20S R61E. It is adjacent and contiguous to one of the City's recent annexations on the west side of the Tonopah Highway and not in any way in dispute as regards the township boundry. However, it is located within the confines of the unincorporated town of Thunderbird, if in fact there be such an unincorporated town as of this date. Since the unincorporated town of Thunderbird, as defined by the Board of County Commissioners, includes territory which is presently ~~with~~ within the corporate limits of the city, I have some question in my mind as to its legal existence. If, in fact, the unincorporated town of Thunderbird is an existing legal political sudivision at this time, I must assume that the city would be unable to annex A-13-62 until such time as adjacent property owners would be willing to join with a petition to cover a territory with a minimum of 60 acres.

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT
OF BUSINESS

PENALTY FOR PREPAYMENT OF POSTAGE TO AVOID
PAYMENT OF FINE OF \$300

JUN 21 1962
POSTMARK ONLY
DELIVERING OFFICE

INSTRUCTIONS: Fill in items below and complete #1 on other side, when applicable. Moisten gummed ends and attach to back of article. Print on front of article RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED.

RETURN
TO

REGISTERED NO.

NAME OF SENDER

Planning Department

CERTIFIED NO.

14761

STREET AND NO. OR P. O. BOX

400 Stewart Street

INSURED NO.

CITY, ZONE AND STATE

Las Vegas, Nevada

A-9-62

C55-16-71548-4

POD Form 3811 Jan. 1958

1-INSTRUCTIONS TO DELIVERING EMPLOYEE

☐ Deliver *ONLY* to
addressee

☐ Show address where
delivered

(Additional charges required for these services)

RETURN RECEIPT

Received the numbered article described on other side.

SIGNATURE OR NAME OF ADDRESSEE *(must always be filled in)*

R. P. Langson


SIGNATURE OF ADDRESSEE'S AGENT, IF ANY

R. P. Langson

DATE DELIVERED

6/21/62

ADDRESS WHERE DELIVERED *(only if requested in item # 1)*



Therefore, I request the opinion of your office as to the present status of the unincorporated town of Thunderbird.

Your earliest attention to this request will be very helpful to this department to processing these annexation petitions.

FRANKLIN J. BILLS
Director of Planning

FJB:rm

CC.: Mr. Don Saylor

INTER-OFFICE MEMORANDUM

15 June 1962

TO

Planning Dept.

FROM:

V. B. Uehling

SUBJECT:

Annexation Petition A-9-62, Langson
Construction Co.

COPIES TO:


Your memorandum of June 6 requested comments on the annexation petition of Langson Construction Co. for annexation to the City of Las Vegas, property described as the south one half of the northwest quarter in the S 1/2, NW 1/4 and SW 1/4, NE 1/4, NW 1/4 of Section 7, Township 21 South, Range 61 East, MDB&M.

The following comments are offered by the Engineering Division:

No particular drainage problems are anticipated. Streets can be designed to carry storm runoff.

This area can be sewerred through San Francisco trunk sewer.

Water is available within a one half mile of the property from the Las Vegas Valley Water District.



V. B. Uehling
Deputy Director of Public Works

VBU:pf

June 19, 1962

CERTIFIED MAIL

**Mr. Don R. Langson, President
Langson Construction Company
616 No. Los Angeles Street
Anaheim, California**

Dear Mr. Langson:

At the regular meeting of the City Planning Commission held on June 14, 1962, consideration was given to your request for the annexation of property legally described as the south one-half of the northwest quarter and the southwest quarter of the northeast quarter of the northwest quarter of Section 7, Township 21 South, Range 61 East, M. D. B. & M.

I t was unanimously voted by the Planning Commission to approve this annexation.

Very truly yours,

**FRANKLIN J. BILLS
Director of Planning**

FJB:ssm

June 6, 1962

Engineering Department
Police Department
Fire Department

Assistant Director of Planning

A-9-62
Annexation Petition
Langson Construction Company

We have received a petition from Langson Construction Company for the annexation of property to the City of Las Vegas legally described as:

The South one-half (S $\frac{1}{2}$) of the Northwest Quarter (NW $\frac{1}{4}$) and the Southwest Quarter (SW $\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northeast Quarter (NE $\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northwest Quarter (NW $\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 7, Township 21 South, Range 61 East, N.D.B.M.

This item will be presented to the Planning Commission on June 14, 1962, and we would appreciate your comments regarding this annexation as soon as possible.

RON J. SAYLOR
Assistant Director
of Planning

DJS:ctm

June 6, 1962

City Clerk

Assistant Director of
Planning

A-9-62

Annexation Petition

Attached is the original petition for annexation to the City of Las Vegas. This annexation will be presented to the Planning Commission on June 14, 1962.

DON J. SAYLOR

DJS:ssm

Attachment

LANGSON CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

616 No. Los Angeles Street, Anaheim, California
Telephone KEystone 5-3661

4 June 1962

The Honorable Mayor & Board of City Commissioners,
City of Las Vegas, Nevada
Attn: City Planning

Re: Annexation


Gentlemen:

The undersigned, as freeholder of the following described parcel of land, does hereby petition said parcel of land to be annexed to the City of Las Vegas.

The legal description is as follows:

S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ & SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 7, T.21 S., R. 61E., MDBEM, Clark County Nevada.

Respectfully,
Langson Construction Co.,


Don R. Langson, President

Ralph L. Kraemer
1847 N. Valley Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada


City of Las Vegas
Engineering Department
Las Vegas, Nevada

Attn: Mr. James Rankin:

Dear Sir:

Attached is a copy of a request for annexation of the property you and I discussed last week. The property survey has been recorded in Book 10, Page 58 of surveys, which I sincerely request you check for me prior to recordation of any subdivision plats.

Very truly yours,


Ralph L. Kraemer

April 19, 1962

The Honorable Mayor Gragson and City Commissioners:


We the undersigned, Elton Stout, President; Verlyn W. Stout, Vice President; and J. W. Ford, Secretary-Treasurer of Esquire Estates, a Nevada Corporation and Rulon Earl, Counsel for the State Presidency, Church of the Later Day Saints, petition the City of Las Vegas to annex within its boundaries all property described as follows:

All of the South Half ($3\frac{1}{2}$) of the Northwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 7, Township 21 South, Range 61 East, M.D.B. & M., and

The West Half ($W\frac{1}{2}$) of the South Half ($s\frac{1}{2}$) of the Northeast Quarter ($NE\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 7, Township 21 South, Range 61 East, M. D. B. & M.


We further certify that we have the authority to act on behalf of Esquire Estates and the Church of the Later Day Saints, in petitioning for this annexation.

Respectfully submitted,


Rulon Earl, Counsel
for the Later Day Saints Church.


Elton Stout, President


Verlyn W. Stout, Vice President


J. W. Ford Secretary-Treasurer

May 4, 1962

City Clerk

Assistant Director of Planning

A-9-62

Annexation Petition

Attached is the original petition of property owners for the annexation of property to the City of Las Vegas.

DON J. SAYLOR

DJS:bah

Attachment